



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

**COPY MAILED**

**JUL 22 2009**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

WILMERHALE/BOSTON  
60 STATE STREET  
BOSTON MA 02109

In re Patent No. 7,534,800	:	DECISION ON REQUEST FOR
GRACZYK et al.	:	RECONSIDERATION OF
Issue Date: May 19, 2009	:	PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT
Application No. 10/509,128	:	AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE
Filed: July 28, 2005	:	CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION
Docket No. 0102286.00154US1	:	

This is in response to the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.705, filed May 21, 2009, and the SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.705, filed June 5, 2009, which are properly treated under 37 CFR 1.705(d). Patentees request that the determination of patent term adjustment for the above-identified patent be corrected from two hundred sixty-four (264) days to four hundred fifty-nine (459) days.

The request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment indicated in the patent is **GRANTED** to the extent indicated herein.

Patentees are given **THIRTY (30) DAYS or ONE (1) MONTH, whichever is longer**, from the mail date of this decision to respond. No extensions of time will be granted under 37 CFR 1.136.

The patent term adjustment indicated on the patent is to be corrected by issuance of a certificate of correction showing a revised Patent Term Adjustment of **568 days**.

On May 19, 2009, the above-identified application matured into U.S. Patent No. 7,534,800. The patent issued with a revised patent term adjustment of 264 days. The present request for reconsideration of patent term adjustment was timely filed on May

21, 2009, and supplemented on June 5, 2009, within two months of the issue date of the patent. See 37 CFR 1.705(d).

Patentees aver that the correct number of days of patent term adjustment is 459 days under the court's interpretation of the overlap provision as set forth in Wyeth v. Dudas, 580 F. Supp. 2d 138, 88 U.S.P.Q.2d 1538 (D.D.C. 2008). Patentees maintain that the total period of Office delay is the sum of the period of Three Year Delay<sup>1</sup> (284 days) and the period of examination delay<sup>2</sup> (345 days)<sup>3</sup> to the extent that these periods of delay are not

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b) (1) (B), 37 CFR 1.702(b) provides:

Failure to issue a patent within three years of the actual filing date of the application. Subject to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(b) and this subpart, the term of an original patent shall be adjusted if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to issue a patent within three years after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in an international application, but not including:

- (1) Any time consumed by continued examination of the application under 35 U.S.C. 132(b);
- (2) Any time consumed by an interference proceeding under 35 U.S.C. 135(a);
- (3) Any time consumed by the imposition of a secrecy order under 35 U.S.C. 181;
- (4) Any time consumed by review by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or a Federal court; or
- (5) Any delay in the processing of the application by the Office that was requested by the applicant.

<sup>2</sup> 37 CFR 1.702, provides grounds for adjustment of patent term due to examination delay under the Patent Term Guarantee Act of 1999 (original applications, other than designs, filed on or after May 29, 2000).

(a) Failure to take certain actions within specified time frames. Subject to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(b) and this subpart, the term of an original patent shall be adjusted if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to:

- (1) Mail at least one of a notification under 35 U.S.C. 132 or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151 not later than fourteen months after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or fulfilled the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 371 in an international application;

<sup>3</sup> A Restriction Requirement was mailed on April 11, 2007, 14 months and 195 days after the date on which the requirements under 35 U.S.C. 371 were fulfilled on July 28, 2005.

overlapping. Patentees contend no periods of delay attributable to grounds specified under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A) and 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) overlap. Therefore, patentees assert that they are entitled to the sum of 195 days of examination delay - 31 days of applicant delay + 295 days of Three Year Delay, for a total patent term adjustment of 459 days.

At the outset, the Office notes that period of delay of 295 days, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.702(b), for the Office taking in excess of three years to issue the patent is incorrect. A review of the record indicates that the Office did not use the correct 35 U.S.C. 371(b) national stage commencement date of September 28, 2004, in calculating the period of adjustment under 37 CFR 1.703(b). As stated in 37 CFR 1.703(b), the period of adjustment under § 1.702(b) is the number of days in the period beginning on the day after the date that is three years after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in an international application and ending on the date a patent was issued. While the date of completion of all 35 U.S.C. 371 requirements, July 28, 2005, is the date used in calculation of the examination delay under 37 CFR 1.703(a), the date the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f), September 28, 2004, is used to determine the Three Year Delay under 37 CFR 1.703(b).

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.703(b), the period of adjustment under 37 CFR 1.702(b) should be 599 days, counting the number of days beginning on the day after the date that is three years after the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371, September 29, 2007, and ending on the date the patent issued, May 19, 2009.

As to patentees' interpretation of the period of overlap, the Office finds it inconsistent with the Office's interpretation of the overlap provision, 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) limits the adjustment of patent term, as follows:

to the extent that the periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in paragraph (1) overlap, the period of any adjustment granted under this subsection shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

As explained in *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f)*<sup>4</sup> and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004), the Office interprets 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) as permitting either patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv), or patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), but not as permitting patent term adjustment under both 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv) and 154(b)(1)(B). Accordingly, the Office implements the overlap provision as follows:

If an application is entitled to an adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the period of delay under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay overlap under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). Thus, any days of delay for Office issuance of the patent more than 3 years after the filing date of the application, which overlap with the days of patent term adjustment accorded prior to the issuance of the patent will not result in any additional patent term adjustment. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), and 37 CFR § 1.703(f). See *Changes to Implement Patent Term Adjustment Under Twenty Year Term; Final Rule*, 65 Fed. Reg. 54366 (Sept. 18, 2000). See also *Revision of Patent Term Extension and Patent Term Adjustment Provisions; Final Rule*, 69 Fed. Reg. 21704 (April 22, 2004), 1282 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 100 (May 18, 2004). See also *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A)*, 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004).

Further, as stated in the *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A)*, the Office has consistently taken the position that if an application is entitled to an adjustment under the three-year pendency provision of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the

---

<sup>4</sup> Likewise, 37 CFR 1.703(f) provides that:

To the extent that periods of delay attributable to the grounds specified in § 1.702 overlap, the period of adjustment granted under this section shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

entire period during which the application was pending before the Office (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A).

This interpretation is consistent with the statute. Taken together the statute and rule provide that to the extent that periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1) and in corresponding 37 CFR 1.702 overlap, the period of adjustment granted shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

In this instance, the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) is the period during which the application was pending before the Office, July 28, 2005 to May 19, 2009 (not including any other periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)).

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A) and 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1), 195 days of patent term adjustment were accorded during the pendency of the application for Office delay prior to the issuance of the patent. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) and 37 CFR 1.702(b), 599 days of patent term adjustment accrued for Office issuance of the patent more than three years after the date the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f).

The 599 days of patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.702(b) overlap with the 195 days of patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1). Entry of both the 599 days and the 195 days is neither permitted nor warranted. 599 days is the actual number of days issuance of the patent was delayed. Accordingly, the additional period of adjustment of 100 days entered by the Office for failing to issue the patent within three years is being removed and the correct period of 404 days is being entered.

In view thereof, the patent term adjustment indicated on the patent should be 568 days.

The application file is being forwarded to the Certificates of Correction Branch for issuance of a certificate of correction in order to rectify this error. The Office will issue a certificate

of correction indicating that the term of the above-identified patent is extended or adjusted by 568 days.

Telephone inquiries regarding this matter should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3211.

*Christina Tartera Donnell*

Christina Tartera Donnell  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

Enclosure: Copy of DRAFT Certificate of Correction

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
**CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION**

PATENT : 7,534,800 B2

DATED : May 19, 2009

INVENTOR(S) : Graczyk et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

On the cover page,

[\*] Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 USC 154(b) by (264) days

Delete the phrase "by 264 days" and insert -- by 568 days--